

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/686,089	10/14/2003	Tatsunori Yamamoto	259052003600	6818		
25226	7590 10/10/2006	10/2006 EXA				
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R			
755 PAGE N	MILL RD O, CA 94304-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IALOALI	0.1. 9.301.1010		2627			
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/200	DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/686	,089	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
		Examir	er	Art Unit	
		Christo	oher R. Magee	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	dress
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE WARRING THE MANAGER, FROM THE MANAGER, FROM THE MANAGER, FROM THE MANAGER AND	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re d will expire SIX (6) MON' application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this of the control o	
Status	,				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2 Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matte	* *	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice	withdrawn from co			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 20 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	003 is/are: a)⊠ action to the drawing(s the correction is req	) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>a</i> )[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ( All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  2. Certified copies of the priority of  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation fee the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have been tule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	l Stage
Attachment	k(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ponation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03;05/10/06.	ГО-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, claims 1-8, in the reply filed on 09/27/06 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 9-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 09/27/06.

## **Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement(s) (IDS) submitted on 10/14/03 and 05/10/06 is/are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,089 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA; Specification page 2, lines 10-23; Figs. 14 and 17) in view of Ohta et al. (hereinafter Ohta) (US 5,109,368).
- Regarding claims 1, 3 and 6, AAPA shows an optical pickup device for recording/reproducing information to/from an optical recording medium, comprising:
  - a light source 2 for emitting light;
- a condensing unit 3 for condensing the light from the light source onto an optical recording medium;
- a light receiving unit having a light sensing device 5 for receiving light reflected by the optical recording medium;
- a light leading unit 4 having an opposed lens which faces the light sensing device, for leading the light reflected by the optical recording medium to the light sensing device through the opposed lens [Figs. 14 and 17]; and

a housing 7 for holding the light sensing device so as to be rotatable around the reference axis line which is parallel to the optical axis of the opposed lens and so as to be displaceable in the direction perpendicular to the reference axis line, holding the opposed lens so as to be displaceable along the optical axis.

The AAPA does not show a cylindrical dustproof member for covering the full periphery of an optical path extending between the opposed lens and the light sensing device and the housing holding the dustproof member so that both ends in the axial direction are displaceable in the axial direction by the intermediate portion in the axial direction.

Referring to claim 1, Ohta teaches a cylindrical dust-proof device 8 for an optical pickup device, which can completely shield the optical pickup device from a dust intrusion path while minimizing the influence of the shield on frequency characteristic of an objective lens driving mechanism [col. 2, lines 21-29; Fig. 2].

Referring to claim 2, Ohta teaches the dustproof member is made of an elastic material [col. 5, lines 27-31].

Referring to claim 4, Ohta shows the dustproof member expands towards both ends in the axial direction [Fig. 7].

Referring to claim 5, Ohta shows the dustproof member is formed in bellows shape [Fig. 6B].

Referring to claim 7, Ohta shows at least the surface of a contact portion, which is in contact with the light emitting device 15 of the dustproof surface is formed as a curved surface [Figs. 2 and 6B].

Referring to claim 8, Ohta teaches the dust blocking device 8 is made from soft rubber material [col. 6, lines 46-51] and it is well known that soft rubber materials can be black in color. Hence, the inner peripheral face of the dust proof device is black.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the optical pickup device of the AAPA with a cylindrical dustproof member

for covering the full periphery of an optical path extending between the opposed lens and the light sensing device as taught by Ohta.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to provide the optical pickup device of the AAPA with a cylindrical dustproof member for covering the full periphery of an optical path extending between the opposed lens and the light sensing device as taught by Ohta in order to shield the optical pickup device from a dust intrusion path while minimizing the influence of the shield on frequency characteristic of an objective lens driving mechanism [Ohta; col. 2, lines 21-29; Fig. 2].

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon that is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure has been annotated on PTO-492.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Magee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-4: 30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,089 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher R. Magee
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2627

September 30, 2006 crm

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600